

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

The Examiner states that, currently, claims 21 and 26 appear to be generic. The Examiner further advises that a reply to the restriction requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with the requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

The Applicants hereby elect without traverse Species III, wherein the form factor is a DLT. The Applicant contends that the following claims read on the elected species: claims 21, 24, 26-28, and 31.

The Applicant believes that the election of species along with the listing of claims readable thereon, as set forth herein above, constitutes a full and complete response to the Office action, and the Applicant furthermore requests timely allowance of claims 21, 24, 26-28, and 31.

**Stephen V. Deckers, Applicant**

By Thomas A. Ol

**Attorney and agent for Applicant**

**Phone: (509) 327-4748**

-2 -